

# All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Tao Hou

We can solve an all-pairs shortest-paths problem by running a single-source shortest-paths algorithm *for each vertex*:

- Use Dijkstra's algorithm:  $O(|V||E| \log(|V|))$ 
  - ▶ For sparse graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|)$ :  $O(|V|^2 \log(|V|))$  (not too bad)
  - ▶ For dense graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|^2)$ :  $O(|V|^3 \log(|V|))$  (can do better)
  - ▶ Not to mention that edge weights have to be non-negative

We can solve an all-pairs shortest-paths problem by running a single-source shortest-paths algorithm **for each vertex**:

- Use Dijkstra's algorithm:  $O(|V||E| \log(|V|))$ 
  - ▶ For sparse graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|)$ :  $O(|V|^2 \log(|V|))$  (not too bad)
  - ▶ For dense graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|^2)$ :  $O(|V|^3 \log(|V|))$  (can do better)
  - ▶ Not to mention that edge weights have to be non-negative
- If we want to allow negative weights, we have to use Bellman-Ford
  - ▶ Time complexity:  $O(|V|^2|E|)$
  - ▶  $O(|V|^4)$  for dense graphs (probably bad)

We can solve an all-pairs shortest-paths problem by running a single-source shortest-paths algorithm **for each vertex**:

- Use Dijkstra's algorithm:  $O(|V||E| \log(|V|))$ 
  - ▶ For sparse graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|)$ :  $O(|V|^2 \log(|V|))$  (not too bad)
  - ▶ For dense graph,  $|E| = \Theta(|V|^2)$ :  $O(|V|^3 \log(|V|))$  (can do better)
  - ▶ Not to mention that edge weights have to be non-negative
- If we want to allow negative weights, we have to use Bellman-Ford
  - ▶ Time complexity:  $O(|V|^2|E|)$
  - ▶  $O(|V|^4)$  for dense graphs (probably bad)

We introduce **Floyd-Warshall** algorithm:

- Run in  $O(|V|^3)$  time and allow negative weights

## Floyd-Warshall: Setting

- Assume that the vertices are numbered  $1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $n = |V|$
- The input is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $W = (w_{i,j})$  representing the edge weights (an *augmentation* of adjacency matrix):

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{weight of edge } (i,j) & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \in E \\ \infty & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \notin E \end{cases}$$

- Allow negative-weight edges, but ***assume that the input graph contains no negative-weight cycles***

- Assume that the vertices are numbered  $1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $n = |V|$
- The input is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $W = (w_{i,j})$  representing the edge weights (an *augmentation* of adjacency matrix):

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{weight of edge } (i,j) & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \in E \\ \infty & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \notin E \end{cases}$$

- Allow negative-weight edges, but ***assume that the input graph contains no negative-weight cycles***
- Returns an  $n \times n$  matrix  $D = (d_{i,j})$ , where  $d_{i,j} = \delta(i,j)$
- Also returns a ***predecessor matrix***  $\Pi = (\pi_{i,j})$

$$\pi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & i = j \text{ or no path from } i \text{ to } j \\ \text{Predecessor of } j \text{ on a shortest path from } i \text{ to } j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $i$ -th row of  $\Pi$  defines a shortest-paths tree rooted at  $i$  (the procedure to print a shortest path from  $i$  should be evident from previous contents)

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- A dynamic-programming approach utilizing the *optimal substructure property* of shortest paths
- As can be imagined, the parameter of the *OPT* function contains:  
*i and j, the start and end vertices*

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- A dynamic-programming approach utilizing the ***optimal substructure property*** of shortest paths
- As can be imagined, the parameter of the *OPT* function contains:  
***i and j, the start and end vertices***
- However, if your *OPT* contains only  $i, j$ , then:

$$d(i, j) = \min \{ \{d(i, \ell) + d(\ell, j) \mid \ell \in V\} \cup \{d(i, j)\} \}$$

- It would be nearly *impossible* to find a valid ***evaluation order***
  - ▶ There is no natural definition of ‘size’ for the problems  $d(i, j)$ : they are all ‘equal’; no one is a natural ‘subproblem’ of another
  - ▶ Also no natural ***base cases***



# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$
- Now consider defining  $d_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for general  $k$ .  
Paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$  fall in two sets:
  - ▶ Does not contain  $k$ :
  - ▶ Contains  $k$ :

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$
- Now consider defining  $d_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for general  $k$ .  
Paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$  fall in two sets:
  - ▶ Does not contain  $k$ : intermediate vertices are  $\leq k - 1$ ; the shortest one is
  - ▶ Contains  $k$ :

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$
- Now consider defining  $d_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for general  $k$ .  
Paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$  fall in two sets:
  - ▶ Does not contain  $k$ : intermediate vertices are  $\leq k - 1$ ; the shortest one is  $d_{i,j}^{(k-1)}$
  - ▶ Contains  $k$ :

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$
- Now consider defining  $d_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for general  $k$ .  
Paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$  fall in two sets:
  - ▶ Does not contain  $k$ : intermediate vertices are  $\leq k - 1$ ; the shortest one is  $d_{i,j}^{(k-1)}$
  - ▶ Contains  $k$ : the shortest one is  $d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$

# Floyd-Warshall: DP Ingredients

- The solution is that, we introduce **another parameter**  $k$ , and consider all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  whose **intermediate vertices** are  $\leq k$ 
  - ▶ E.g., path  $p = \langle v_1 = i, v_2, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q = j \rangle$  where  $v_2, \dots, v_{q-1} \leq k$
- **OPT function**: Let  $d_{i,j}^{(k)} := d(i, j, k)$  be the minimum weight of all paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$
- We have the following immediate evidence why this definition makes sense:
  - (1) We could easily identify the **base case**:  $d_{i,j}^{(0)} = w_{i,j}$
  - (2) There is a natural notion of “size”:  $k$
- Now consider defining  $d_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for general  $k$ .  
Paths from  $i$  to  $j$  with intermediate vertices  $\leq k$  fall in two sets:
  - ▶ Does not contain  $k$ : intermediate vertices are  $\leq k - 1$ ; the shortest one is  $d_{i,j}^{(k-1)}$
  - ▶ Contains  $k$ : the shortest one is  $d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$
- So,

$$d_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} w_{i,j} & k = 0 \\ \min \left\{ d_{i,j}^{(k-1)}, d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \right\} & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

## FLOYD-WARSHALL( $W$ )

```
1  $D^{(0)} = W$ 
2 for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ 
3      $D^{(k)} := \left( d_{ij}^{(k)} \right)$  be a new  $n \times n$  matrix
4     for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 
5         for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ 
6              $d_{ij}^{(k)} = \min \left\{ d_{ij}^{(k-1)}, d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \right\}$ 
```

Time complexity:  $\Theta(|V|^3)$ , or  $\Theta(n^3)$



# Floyd-Warshall: Predecessor Matrix

- Recall that we also need to compute a *predecessor matrix*  $\Pi = (\pi_{i,j})$

$$\pi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & i = j \text{ or no path from } i \text{ to } j \\ \text{Predecessor of } j \text{ on a shortest path from } i \text{ to } j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $i$ -th row of  $\Pi$  defines a shortest-paths tree rooted at  $i$

# Floyd-Warshall: Predecessor Matrix

- Recall that we also need to compute a **predecessor matrix**  $\Pi = (\pi_{i,j})$

$$\pi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & i = j \text{ or no path from } i \text{ to } j \\ \text{Predecessor of } j \text{ on a shortest path from } i \text{ to } j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $i$ -th row of  $\Pi$  defines a shortest-paths tree rooted at  $i$

- We have  $\Pi^{(k)} = (\pi_{i,j}^{(k)})$  corresponding to each  $D^{(k)}$

$$\pi_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & i = j \text{ or no path from } i \text{ to } j \\ & \text{with intermediate vertices } \leq k \\ \text{Predecessor of } j \text{ on a shortest path from } i \text{ to } j \\ & \text{with intermediate vertices } \leq k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- We simply let  $\Pi = \Pi^{(n)}$

- Base case

$$\pi_{ij}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } (i,j) \notin E \\ i & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \in E \end{cases}$$

# Floyd-Warshall: Predecessor Matrix

## ■ Base case

$$\pi_{i,j}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } (i,j) \notin E \\ i & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \in E \end{cases}$$

## ■ General case

$$\pi_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} & \text{if } d_{ij}^{(k-1)} \leq d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \\ & \text{if } d_{ij}^{(k-1)} > d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \end{cases}$$

# Floyd-Warshall: Predecessor Matrix

- Base case

$$\pi_{i,j}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \text{Nil} & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } (i,j) \notin E \\ i & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i,j) \in E \end{cases}$$

- General case

$$\pi_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \pi_{i,j}^{(k-1)} & \text{if } d_{i,j}^{(k-1)} \leq d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \\ \pi_{k,j}^{(k-1)} & \text{if } d_{i,j}^{(k-1)} > d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)} \end{cases}$$

# Floyd-Warshall: With $\Pi$ matrix update

## FLOYD-WARSHALL( $W$ )

```
1 Initialize  $D^{(0)}$  and  $\Pi^{(0)}$ 
2 for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ 
3   for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 
4     for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ 
5       if  $d_{ij}^{(k-1)} \leq d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$ 
6          $d_{ij}^{(k)} = d_{ij}^{(k-1)}$ 
7          $\pi_{ij}^{(k)} = \pi_{ij}^{(k-1)}$ 
8       else
9          $d_{ij}^{(k)} = d_{i,k}^{(k-1)} + d_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$ 
10         $\pi_{ij}^{(k)} = \pi_{k,j}^{(k-1)}$ 
```